

A PATIENT RESOURCE

Talking to your doctor about *non-surgical* knee pain options.

*A practical guide to the conversation, the science,
and the questions worth asking – before you
commit to surgery you may not need.*

You may know more about your options than your doctor does.

If you're reading this, you've probably been dealing with knee pain for a while. You may have tried physical therapy, anti-inflammatory medication, knee braces, cortisone injections, or some combination of all of them. You may have been told — directly or by implication — that knee replacement is the next step.

It might be. For some patients, joint replacement is the right call.

But there's also a category of minimally invasive, image-guided procedures performed by interventional radiologists — including Genicular Artery Embolization (GAE), radiofrequency ablation (RFA), and image-guided injections — that many patients are never told about. Not because they're hiding from you, but because:

- Many primary care doctors haven't received specific continuing education on these procedures because they're relatively new in widespread practice.
- Orthopedic surgeons typically know about GAE but may not routinely discuss it — partly because it's outside their scope of practice, and partly because their incentive structures favor procedures they perform themselves.
- Interventional radiology is a specialty most patients have never heard of, even though the field has been performing image-guided procedures for over four decades.

This guide is designed to help you have a more informed conversation with whichever doctor is helping you make this decision. It includes the published evidence on GAE, an honest side-by-side with knee replacement, and a list of specific questions you can ask. There's space throughout for you to write down what your doctor says.

The goal of this guide isn't to push you toward any specific procedure — including ours. It's to help you make an informed decision. If knee replacement is the right answer for you after a careful conversation, this guide will have done its job.

What GAE is, and how it works.

Genicular Artery Embolization is an outpatient, image-guided procedure that targets the *cause* of chronic knee pain in osteoarthritis — not just the symptom.

Here's the underlying biology, in plain language: in an osteoarthritic knee, the lining of the joint (the *synovium*) becomes chronically inflamed. To sustain that inflammation, the body grows new abnormal blood vessels, called *neovessels*, that feed into the joint. These neovessels carry inflammatory cells, pain signals, and the nerve fibers that produce the persistent ache of arthritis.^{1, 2}

GAE works upstream of all that. Through a small catheter inserted at the wrist or upper thigh, an interventional radiologist navigates to the genicular arteries (the small blood vessels supplying the knee joint capsule) and delivers microscopic particles that close off the abnormal vessels. The cartilage damage isn't reversed — but the chronic inflammation that drives the pain has its supply line cut.¹

What the published research shows

47.4%

of patients had ≥50% pain reduction at 24 months in the most recent prospective IDE trial³

72%

of patients who responded at 12 months had a sustained response at 24 months³

~36%

average reduction in pain score (VAS) at 2 years follow-up in the GENESIS trial⁴

The pattern across multiple studies is consistent: most patients who respond to GAE see meaningful, durable pain reduction lasting 1 to 4 years.⁵ The procedure is not a cure — it's a way to manage pain and inflammation in a joint that already has osteoarthritis. For some patients it delays joint replacement by years; for others, it provides enough relief that surgery becomes unnecessary.

What about safety?

Across published series, the most common side effects are minor and self-limiting: temporary skin discoloration over the knee (resolves on its own in weeks), mild groin or wrist soreness at the catheter site, and rare puncture-site bruising.^{4, 5} Significant complications are uncommon — under 2% in most published series.⁵ No long-term adverse events have been observed at the 2-year follow-up window in the most recent IDE trial.³

An honest side-by-side: GAE vs. knee replacement.

This isn't a sales pitch. Both procedures help patients. They're appropriate for different situations, and both have real trade-offs. Here's the data — with citations.

COMPARISON	GAE	Total Knee Replacement (TKR)
Type of intervention	Minimally invasive, image-guided <i>No incision</i>	Major orthopedic surgery <i>Joint surfaces replaced</i>
Anesthesia	Local + light IV sedation	General or spinal anesthesia
Hospital stay	<i>None</i> — outpatient	1–3 nights typical ⁶
Time to walking unaided	Same day	3–4 weeks ⁷
Time to return to work	2–3 days	~12.9 weeks (mean) ⁸
Reverses cartilage damage?	<i>No</i> — addresses inflammation	N/A — replaces joint surfaces
Persistent pain after procedure	~30–50% don't respond fully ³	~12–22% have ongoing pain at 12+ months ^{9, 10}
Patient dissatisfaction rate	Limited long-term satisfaction data	~10% across 95K-patient meta-analysis ¹¹
Repeatable if needed?	Yes — no limit on repeat procedures	Revision surgery is more complex ~8.4% revision rate over time ¹²
Best candidate	Mild–moderate OA, not yet surgical	End-stage OA, surgical candidates

What this comparison actually means

For a patient with mild-to-moderate knee osteoarthritis (Kellgren-Lawrence grade 2–3), GAE can offer meaningful pain relief without the recovery, surgical risk, or commitment of a knee replacement. About half of these patients see lasting benefit at 2 years. The other half can still proceed to TKR later — GAE doesn't burn any bridges.

For a patient with end-stage osteoarthritis (Kellgren-Lawrence grade 4) where the cartilage is essentially gone, GAE is much less likely to help. TKR remains the right answer for those patients. The hardest decision is in the middle — and that's exactly the conversation this guide is designed to support.

Eight questions worth asking your doctor.

Use the space below each question to write down what your doctor says. Their answer matters less than the conversation it starts. If a doctor refuses to discuss any of these, that itself is information.

01 Based on my imaging, what's my Kellgren-Lawrence grade?

WHAT A THOROUGH ANSWER SOUNDS LIKE

The KL scale runs from 0 (no OA) to 4 (severe). It's based on X-ray findings — joint space narrowing, bone changes, and bone spurs. Your doctor should be able to give you a specific grade for each affected knee. This number heavily influences which treatments are appropriate.

MY DOCTOR SAID

02 What non-surgical options have I not yet tried?

WHAT A THOROUGH ANSWER SOUNDS LIKE

A complete answer should mention image-guided injections (with ultrasound or fluoroscopy), nerve ablation procedures like genicular RFA, and embolization procedures like GAE. If "we've tried everything" is the answer, gently ask about each of these specifically.

MY DOCTOR SAID

03 Are you familiar with Genicular Artery Embolization?

WHAT A THOROUGH ANSWER SOUNDS LIKE

A confident "yes" with some specifics about the procedure suggests your doctor is up to date. "I've heard of it but don't know the details" is honest and worth a follow-up. "Never heard of it" is also fine — it's a relatively new procedure — but it means you should consider seeking a second opinion from an interventional radiologist.

MY DOCTOR SAID

04 Could I be a candidate for GAE based on my specific situation?

WHAT A THOROUGH ANSWER SOUNDS LIKE

Good candidates typically have mild-to-moderate OA (KL 2–3), pain that hasn't responded to conservative care, and are either not ready for or not candidates for joint replacement. Severe (KL 4) OA is generally not a great fit. A thoughtful doctor should walk you through where *you* fit in that picture.

MY DOCTOR SAID

05 What are the actual risks I'd face if I proceed with knee replacement?

WHAT A THOROUGH ANSWER SOUNDS LIKE

Honest answers will include: ~10% patient dissatisfaction across published studies, 12–22% rate of persistent pain at 12+ months, infection risk (rare but serious), blood clots, recovery time of 3–6 months, and the possibility of revision surgery later in life. A doctor who waves these off as "minimal" isn't giving you the full picture.

MY DOCTOR SAID

06 If I tried GAE first and it didn't work, would I still be a candidate for knee replacement later?

WHAT A THOROUGH ANSWER SOUNDS LIKE

The honest answer is yes. GAE doesn't change the structure of the joint and doesn't preclude future surgery. Patients in published trials who didn't respond to GAE went on to have successful joint replacements without complications.⁴ If your doctor says "GAE will compromise your future surgery," ask them to cite a source.

MY DOCTOR SAID

07 How urgent is this decision? What happens if I wait 6 months?

WHAT A THOROUGH ANSWER SOUNDS LIKE

For most patients with osteoarthritis pain, this is not a 911. The disease progresses slowly — often over years. Taking 3–6 months to explore options, get second opinions, and try less invasive approaches first will rarely cost you anything clinically. If your doctor says you need to decide in the next two weeks, ask why specifically.

MY DOCTOR SAID

08 Can you refer me to an interventional radiologist for a second opinion?

WHAT A THOROUGH ANSWER SOUNDS LIKE

A confident, secure doctor will say yes — even if they ultimately recommend surgery. Pushback or discouragement here is a yellow flag. You don't need a referral to see an interventional radiologist (they accept self-referrals at most practices), but a doctor's willingness to support second opinions tells you a lot about their relationship with your care.

MY DOCTOR SAID

REFERENCES

Where the numbers in this guide come from.

Every statistic cited in this guide comes from a peer-reviewed publication or a major joint registry. If your doctor questions any of these numbers, you have the citations.

- 01 Bagla S, et al. Genicular artery embolization for osteoarthritis-related knee pain. *Journal of Vascular and Interventional Radiology*, 2020.

- 02 Bonnet CS, Walsh DA. Osteoarthritis, angiogenesis and inflammation. *Rheumatology*, 2005;44(1):7–16.

- 03 Khurana B, et al. Genicular artery embolization for treatment of symptomatic knee osteoarthritis: 2-year outcomes from a prospective IDE trial. *Journal of Vascular and Interventional Radiology*, 2024 (PubMed 39322180). *Primary endpoint: 47.4% of patients showed ≥50% WOMAC reduction at 24 months.*

- 04 Little MW, et al. Genicular artery embolisation in patients with osteoarthritis of the knee (GENESIS): long-term results using permanent microspheres. *CardioVascular and Interventional Radiology*, 2024 (Springer Nature). *Mean VAS score improved from 58.6 to 37.7 at 2 years (n=46).*

- 05 Heller JG, et al. Genicular artery embolization for osteoarthritis-related knee pain: a systematic review and qualitative analysis of clinical outcomes. *Cardiovascular and Interventional Radiology*, 2020 (PubMed 33135117).

- 06 Healthline, AAHKS-sourced overview. Total knee replacement recovery timeline. American Association of Hip and Knee Surgeons clinical guidance, 2024.

- 07 Healthline / AAHKS. 12-week post-TKR recovery course. Most patients walk with assistance for 3–4 weeks.

- 08 Tilbury C, et al. Return to work after total hip and knee arthroplasty: results from a clinical study. *Rheumatology International*. *Mean time to RTW: 12.9 weeks for TKA (n=64).*

- 09 Beswick AD, et al. What proportion of people have long-term pain after total hip or knee replacement? Updated systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ Open*, 2025. *~22% report pain at 3 months; 12–15% at 1–2 years.*

- 10 Wylde V, et al. Persistent post-surgical pain after TKR. *Pain*, 2023. *~20% incidence after bone and joint surgery.*

- 11 Kahlenberg CA, et al. Patient satisfaction after total knee replacement: systematic review of 95,560 patients across 208 studies. *HSS Journal*, 2018. *Average dissatisfaction rate: 10%.*

- 12 Klemt C, et al. Big data analysis of complications, costs, and length of stay in revision TKA. *Knee Surgery, Sports Traumatology, Arthroscopy*, 2024. *8.4% of TKA patients underwent revision surgery in the studied period.*

Important: This guide is intended for educational purposes only and does not constitute medical advice. Statistics are drawn from published clinical research and may not apply directly to your individual situation. Treatment decisions should always be made in consultation with qualified physicians who have reviewed your imaging and medical history. Valley Joint Pain Center provides this resource as a public service to support more informed patient-physician conversations.

Whatever you decide, decide it knowing your options.

Whether you ultimately choose GAE, joint replacement, continued conservative care, or something else entirely — the goal of this guide is the same: to help you walk into your next appointment as an informed participant in your own care, not a passive recipient of someone else's plan.

If you'd like to learn more about whether you might be a candidate for GAE or another minimally invasive option we offer, you have a few options:

Connecting with our practice

Valley Joint Pain Center is an interventional radiology practice in Van Nuys focused on non-surgical solutions to chronic joint pain. There's no charge for an initial consultation, no obligation, and no insurance required to start the conversation.

ONLINE ASSESSMENT

[valleyjointpain.com
/assessment](https://valleyjointpain.com/assessment)

PHONE

(818) 418-8165

OFFICE

14411 Hamlin St
Van Nuys, CA 91401

This guide was prepared by Valley Joint Pain Center and reviewed for clinical accuracy by Dr. Sabeen Dhand, MD — board-certified interventional radiologist, Northwestern-trained, Assistant Clinical Professor at the UCLA School of Medicine.